

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/751,974	12/29/2000	Hiroyo Masuda	FUJS 18.150 9067		
7590 02/24/2005			EXAMINER		
Katten Muchin Zavis Rosenman			LE, NHAN T		
575 Madison Av New York, NY	******		ART UNIT PAPER NUME		
- · - · · - · - · · · · · · ·			2685		

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/751,974	ŀ	MASUDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Nhan T Le		2685				
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even communication. rty (30) days, a reply within the statut m statutory period will apply and will reply will, by statute, cause the applic ths after the mailing date of this com	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 29 December 200	00.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This action is no						
3)☐ Since this application is in condit	,—						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the day Of the above claim(s) 29 and 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2-18 and 20-28 is/are a 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 19 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to reserve the day of	30 is/are withdrawn from a lowed.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any o	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objecte	d to by the Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	Action or form P1	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None o 1. Certified copies of the prio 2. Certified copies of the prio 3. Copies of the certified cop application from the Intern * See the attached detailed Office a	f: rity documents have been rity documents have been les of the priority documer ational Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Amasharan/al							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	,	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie	w (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		5)	atent Application (PT0	D-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 1. Claims 1, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Applicant's admitted prior art.

As to claims 1, 19, Applicant's admitted prior art teaches a mobile service switching center including (a1) a plurality of the first multi-call communication mode supporting terminals which are capable of supporting a plurality of calls at a time and (a2) a plurality of a single call communication mode supporting terminals which are capable of supporting only a single call at a time, the mobile service switching center (see page 3, lines 3-14) comprising determining section for determining whether a call request is a call from the first multi-call communication mode supporting terminal or from the single-call communication mode supporting terminal (see page 5, line 23- page 6, line 2); event detecting section for detecting event caused by a handover occurrence or fluctuation of congested state of a network, by reception of a handover requesting signal or an increase of a load representing the congested state of the network(see page 5, lines 6-16, lines 24-26); and call-number changing section for increasing ordecreasing to change the number of continuing calls of the first multi-call communication mode supporting terminal which is determined by the determining

Art Unit: 2685

section, when an event is detected by the event detecting section detects any event during the communication (see page 6, lines 3-13).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-18, 20-28 are allowed.

Claims 2-18, 20-28 are allowed as stated in the applicant's amendment in pages 18-19 on 10/12/2004.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/12/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the AAPA doe not mention the switching center side determines whether a call request is a call from the multi-call communication mode supporting terminal, or a call from a single-call communication mode supporting terminal. In addition, the AAPA does not teach that the event detecting section detects event caused by a handover occurrence or fluctuation of congested state. Examiner disagrees. The AAPA does mention the switching center side determines whether a call request is a call from the multi-call communication mode supporting terminal, or a call from a single-call communication mode supporting terminal (see page 3, lines 3-14) and teaches the event detecting section detects event caused by a handover occurrence or fluctuation of congested state (see page 5, lines 6-16, lines 24-26).

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 29-30 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Page 4

Claim 29 discloses a base station controller.

Claim 30 discloses a muticall communication mode support terminal.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 29-30 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhan T Le whose telephone number is 703-305-4538. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-05:00 (Mon-Fri).

Application/Control Number: 09/751,974 Page 5

Art Unit: 2685

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 703-305-4385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nhan T. Le

NGUYENT.VO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mayer 0 2-15-2005